

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TATYANA EVGENIEVNA
DREVALEVA,

Plaintiff,

v.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS
AFFAIRS, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. [18-cv-03748-JCS](#)

**NOTICE REGARDING SUMMARY
JUDGEMENT MOTIONS**

TATYANA EVGENIEVNA
DREVALEVA,

Plaintiff,

v.

JOSEPH GLAZER, et al.,

Defendants.

Related Case No. 21-cv-0500 JCS

TATYANA EVGENIEVNA
DREVALEVA,

Plaintiff,

v.

DENNIS HAYO, et al.,

Defendants.

Related Case No. 21-cv-0684 JCS

Defendant(s) in this case may file a motion for summary judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. This notice is written to explain to the pro se plaintiff how the summary judgment process works and the consequences if a summary judgment motion is granted in the defendant's favor. *See Rand v. Rowland*, 113 F.3d 1520 (9th Cir. 1997).

1 A motion for summary judgment provides a procedure for terminating an action without
2 trial if “there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and . . . the moving party is entitled to
3 judgment as a matter of law.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c). Material facts are those which may affect the
4 outcome of the case. *Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.*, 477 U.S. 242, 248 (1986). A dispute as to a
5 material fact is genuine if there is sufficient evidence for a reasonable jury to return a verdict for
6 the party opposing the motion for summary judgment. *Id.*

7 The party filing the motion for summary judgment is called the “moving party.” The
8 moving party bears the initial burden of identifying those portions of the pleadings, discovery and
9 affidavits which demonstrate the absence of a genuine issue of material fact. *Celotex Corp. v.*
10 *Cattrett*, 477 U.S. 317, 323 (1986). Where the moving party will have the burden of proof on an
11 issue at trial, it must affirmatively demonstrate that no reasonable trier of fact could find other than
12 for the moving party. But on an issue for which the opposing party will have the burden of proof
13 at trial, the moving party need only point out that there is an absence of evidence to support the
14 opposing party’s case. *Id.*

15 Once the moving party meets its initial burden, the opposing party may not rest upon the
16 allegations or denials of unverified pleadings, but must file an opposition setting forth specific
17 facts showing that there is a genuine issue for trial. Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(e). The facts relied upon
18 must be admissible under rules governing admission of evidence generally, and must be presented
19 in items such as: (1) declarations based on personal knowledge, accompanied by sworn or certified
20 copies of all documents referred to in the declaration¹; *id.*; (2) discovery documents, such as
21 answers to deposition questions, answers to interrogatories or answers to requests for admissions,
22 that have been properly authenticated by a declaration by someone with personal knowledge of the
23 documents’ accuracy, Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c); (3) verified complaints that meet the requirements of
24

25 ¹ A declaration is a statement of facts which are personally known to the person making the
26 declaration. The facts in a declaration must be admissible in evidence, i.e., evidentiary facts and
27 not conclusions or argument. The declaration must show affirmatively that the person making the
28 declaration is competent to testify to the matters stated therein and contain no inadmissible hearsay
or opinions. A declaration must be made under penalty of perjury, i.e., it must be signed at the
end after the statement “I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct
and that this declaration was executed on [date].”

1 Rule 56(e) (that is, complaints containing factual assertions that are within the pleader's personal
2 knowledge and are otherwise admissible evidence), see *Schroeder v. McDonald*, 55 F.3d 454,460
3 (9th Cir. 1995); *Keenan v. Hall*, 83 F.3d 1083, 1090 n.1 (9th Cir. 1996)). The evidence presented
4 on each claim must not only be admissible, but also must be sufficient for a jury to reasonably
5 return a verdict for the opposing party. *Anderson*, 477 U.S. at 249. If the opposing party fails to
6 contradict the moving party with declarations or other evidence, the moving party's evidence may
7 be taken as the truth.

8 It is not the district court's job to search the record for a genuine issue of triable fact.
9 *Keenan v. Allen*, 91 F.3d 1275, 1279 (9th Cir. 1996). The opposing party has the burden of
10 identifying with reasonable particularity the evidence that precludes summary judgment. *Id.* If
11 the opposing party fails to do so, the district court may properly dismiss the claims. *Id.*

12 If the moving party has met its burden of proof and the opposing party fails to set forth
13 specific facts showing that there is a genuine issue for trial, then "the moving party is entitled to
14 judgment as a matter of law." *Celotex Corp.*, 477 U.S. at 323. A successful motion for summary
15 judgment terminates the action without trial, and will result in a final judgment on the merits.

16 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

17 Dated: May 4, 2021

18
19
20 
21 JOSEPH C. SPERO
22 United States Chief Magistrate Judge
23
24
25
26
27
28